Experimental and Computational NMR Strategies to Identify Constrained Conformers of Modified Calix[4]arenes Jacqueline Medina, Lawrence Garcia, Ryan P. Madigan, Frank W. Foss Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, The University of Texas at Arlington, Arlington, TX







005
000
005
010
015
020
025
030
035
040
045
050
055
060

Com	putatio

		CMAE (ppm)	R ²	uDP4+	sDP4+	DP4+
¹ Η	iso 1	0.08	0.9981	87.97%	100.00%	100.00%
	iso 2	0.25	0.9848	12.02%	0.00%	0.00%
	iso 3	0.44	0.9352	0.01%	0.00%	0.00%
¹³ C	iso 1	1.95	0.9979	96.07%	97.71%	99.90%
	iso 2	2.17	0.9973	3.93%	2.29%	0.10%
	iso 3	2.60	0.9955	0.56%	0.00%	0.00%
Combined ¹ H and ¹³ C	iso 1	0.40	0.9980	99.44%	100.00%	100.00%
	iso 2	0.73	0.9936	0.56%	0.00%	0.00%
	iso 3	1.07	0.9829	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

~Computational chemical shift values were compared to experimental values and corrected mean average was graphed and compared.

Conclusion

Acknowledgements

We want to thank our collaborators Dr. Thranh Vuong for the computational studies, Dr. Benjamin Jones and the NEXT collaboration. We also want to thank the NSF Welch Foundation for funding

References

[1] Hyun No, K. et al J. Org. Chem 1982, 47, 2713–2719 [2] Zhang, D. et al Tetrahedron 2008, 64, 9843–9849. [3] Van Dienst, E. et al Pure Appl. Chem. 1993, 65, 387–392



nal analysis

~Conformer and ensemble sampling tool(CREST) was utilized for predictive NMR ~Results point overwhelmingly towards cone.



~Utilized NMR and computational methods to determine cone conformation

[4] Xie, R. et al Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett 2017, 27, 4415–4420. [5] Li, G. et al Spectrochim. Acta A 2020, 239, 118465. [6] Gutsche, C. D. et al Org. Syn. 2003, 68, 234–234